



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,852	01/25/2002	Lori A. Frauenhofer	2002B012	1578

23455 7590 07/23/2003

EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY
P O BOX 2149
BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149

EXAMINER

AHMAD, NASSER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------


1772

6

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ASG

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/057,852	Applicant(s) Frauenhofer	
	Examiner Nasser Ahmad	Art Unit 1772	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Nasser Ahmad (3) _____
(2) R. Glenn Schroeder (4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 22, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: All, specially 1 and 3.

Identification of prior art discussed:
Freedman and Josephy

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant discussed the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection and proposed to submit a declaration, with evidence, that the basis is "by weight". With regard to Freedman reference, applicant argued that biaxial orientation is not desired as per the background section, It was pointed out that "not desired" does not mean biaxial orientation cannot be formed. In fact, the extrusion process of Freedman would inherently provide for the monoaxial orientation. Further, regardless of Josephy's utility, it clearly teaches that mono- or bi-axial orientation can be conducted to the label product. Applicant also proposed to direct the examiner's attention to specific examples in the instant specification.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached


NASSER AHMAD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1772

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required